

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/790,773	03/03/2004	Hiroki Harata	02165.000014	3206	
5514	7590 09/08/2004		EXAMINER		
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			MILLER,	MILLER, BENA B	
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3712		

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/790,773	HARATA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Bena Miller	3712				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days iil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_,					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	his action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	*	· ·				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	, -, -					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form P1O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		-(d) or (f).				
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>						
2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents  3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priori	• •					
application from the International Bureau	•	d III tilis National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		d.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	£1				

Art Unit: 3712

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims are replete with indefiniteness that is too numerous to point out in every instance. The following examples are provided for the applicant's use in making corrections wherever appropriate but not specifically pointed out.

Regarding claim 1, it is not clear if the reversibly metachromatic layer is provided on the one of the resin layers partially or all over the surface or if the reversibly metachromatic layer is provided on each of the resin layers partially or all over the surface. Furthermore, there is lack of antecedent basis for the phrase "the surface".

Regarding claim 2, it is not clear if there is an additional reversibly metachromatic layer or if the reversibly metachromatic layer is the same as the reversibly metachromatic layer in line 4 of claim 1.

Claim 3 recites an improper Markush grouping (note the phrase "comprises" should read — consist of —.).

Regarding claim 5, there is lack of antecedent basis for the limitation "the surface area". Furthermore, it is not clear if one or all of the resin layers are provided over 50% or more of the surface area.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,773

Art Unit: 3712

2).

Regarding claim 6, there is lack of antecedent basis for the limitation "the top surface and the back surface".

Regarding claim 7, there is lack of the proper antecedent basis for the limitation "the plurality of the reversibly metachromatic toy".

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

Claims 1, 3-5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takeda.

Regarding claim 1, as best as understood, Takeda teaches in the figures a reversibly metachromatic toy comprising a plate-like resin foamed substrate (21), resin layers on both sides of the substrate (col. 1, par. 04) and a reversibly metachromatic layer provided on the resin layers.

Regarding claim 3, Takeda further teaches a thermochromic layer (Abstract, par.

Regarding claim 4, the claim is to a product by process to a resin layer of the toy.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,773

Art Unit: 3712

æ ·

Regarding claim 5, as best as understood, the examiner takes the position that the resin layers of Takeda is provided over 50% of the surface area (Note, figure 3).

Regarding claim 7, Takeda further teaches reversibly metachromatic toys with one hole and a fastener (col. 1, par. 5).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takeda in view of Japan 63-4304.

Takeda teaches in the figures most of the elements of the claimed invention except for the resin layer on the back surface. Japan 63-4304 teaches that a reversibly metachromatic layer is provided on the back surface of a support (fig. 3). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a back surface with a reversibly metachromatic layer as taught by Japan 63-4304 to the toy of Takeda for the purpose of creating visual excitement when a child is playing with the toy.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,773

Art Unit: 3712

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bena Miller whose telephone number is 703.305.0643. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bena Miller Examiner Art Unit 3712

bbm September 06, 2004